Organization/ Country	Reviewer	Comments	Actions taken/ Response	Suggestions
IFRC	Blaise Murphet	 Specific Comments Article 2:This Vision focuses on road safety data, policies and practices. However, the objectives are focused on crash data. We think there is a high value in explicitly having an objective to measure (by establishing clear methodology and guidelines) objective behavioural outcomes that are critical to monitoring road safety trends. These should include High alcohol hour drink drive rates Free travel speeds urban and rural Helmet wearing rates Seat belt wearing rates front/rear Child Restraints Public attitudes to road safety surveys could also be considered Could also consider attaching a glossary to define "crash data"? We would argue that should only focus on <u>fatal and serious injury (FSI) crash</u> data. Most crashes are noninjury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury, which are not relevant to the Mission. Article 3: This differs from the current Article 2 Vision which is broader than just road crash data. As stated above, crash data itself is not useful. The focus should be on fatal and serious injury (FSI) crash data as most crashes are noninjury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal. As stated above, crash data itself is not useful. The focus should be on fatal and serious injury (FSI) crash data as most crashes are noninjury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury (FSI) crash data as most crashes are noninjury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury and are of a different type to those that cause fatal/serious injury. 	Agreed and addressed Will work for preparing a glossary to define "crash data"	

Summary of Comments on Governance Structure of Asia and the Pacific Road Safety Observatory

 Article 4: Even in the best performing countries, police for complicated reasons don't report a 	
large percentage of serious injury crashes. For	ľ
example, police may be called to a single vehicle	
crash involving a drunk driver who wants to	ľ
avoid being breath tested, particularly in rural	ľ
areas. However, the driver/passengers, if	ľ
seriously injury will seek medical attention. This	ľ
hospitalisation data provided high value data as	ľ
to the true number of crash casualty.	I
	ľ
It could be implied but at this stage it's not	ľ
explicitly stated that the mechanism to improve	ľ
the data quality is to train police in crash	ľ
investigation and reporting. That's not currently	
explicitly part of the Observatory mandate but	
in our view has to be as without that, police will	
not produce quality reports and data	
4. Article 7: Relevant stakeholders: Do	
international organisations such as GRSP/iRAP	
fall under this category or do they generally fall	
under the Steering Committee?	
Terms of this membership: This is encouraging -	
this should include a commitment towards the	
provision of the appropriate resourcing to be a	
member.	
5. Article 9: The highest authority on road safety:	
This may be contentious in countries that do not	
have a clear mandate/strategy on whom the	
"highest authority" resides with.	ľ
And the consideration of strong political buy-in	
and willingness may be more important than	
 who is the highest authority.	

		 6. Article 14: Promote the homogenization of guidelines: While this is a good thing to be doing, it's not clear why this would be part of the groups stated mandate. If guiding awareness campaigns is included, then logically there should be one providing a mandate to guide best practice enforcement methods, legislation and implementation etc 7. Article 21: bullet d: The collection of FSI is insufficient in itself. There need to be the capability for jurisdiction to analyse and translate that data into practical, implementable policies. 	
Vietnam	Tran Huu Minh	Specific Comments: 1. Clearer definition of APRSO position in relationship with other organizations (APRSO will be located under which organization or platform? UNESCAP or ASEAN or Transport ministerial summit? how working relationship will be?	TBC
		 Clearer definition of funding to maintain office, secretariat of APRSO (operation cost, source, membership 	ТВС
		 Clearer explanation of commitment and obligation of members (level of obligation, responsibilities of members) 	Added
		4. Detailed criteria for other organizations to be member of APRSO, certified process	To be discussed